



Jews
and



Nir Strulovitz

Dedicated with love to Lise Meitner the mother of nuclear fission who said: "I will have nothing to do with a bomb!"

Where Oppression Is a Stimulus

Perhaps even more than on its own tradition, the Jewish group has thrived on oppression and on the antagonism it has forever met in the world. Here undoubtedly lies one of the main reasons for its continued existence through so many thousands of years.

– Albert Einstein in "Why Do They Hate the Jews?"

I feel like all I've done my whole life is be pretty. I mean, all I've done is be born! I'm a failed actress, a failed artist... I'm not much good as a mother. Come to think of it, I'm not even that pretty anymore. I have failed at everything, Yuri... but I won't fail as a human being.

– Ava Fontaine in "Lord of War"

From the back cover:

ALLEGEDLY! Everything in this book is allegedly and my own personal opinions.

The Christian Bible describes the Devil as the father of the Jews; In the Middle Ages, Jews were seen as sorcerers and magicians, expert in the black arts of the occult; In the 19th-century the Protocols of the Elders of Zion connects Jews with world financial domination; Many people believe the Jews become rich at the expense of hard-working poor Christians.

In the 21st century the Jewish scientists are the fathers of the Devil: Artificial Intelligence (AI); Jews are leading in this modern black magic - the self-replicating machines that will destroy humanity; Jews control Silicon Valley and Wall Street and this military industrial complex will bring AI takeover which means human extinction; And soon Jewish led AI technologies will take the jobs and livelihoods of all the hard-working Christians.

In this book you'll see the amazing motives behind this evil doing: Siege mentality from millennia of prosecution and perpetual existential fear; Fearless intellect honed in religious quibbles and sneaky commerce, now turned to world dominating technology; Messianic mentality of glorified destiny pushing to super achieving even when the achievement is monstrous;

The working title of this book was "Revenge of the Jews", and the cover image was Samson bringing down the house on the gentiles, which I meant as a metaphor for avenging the suffering of the Jews along history. To prevent this dark scenario, I will explain what the hard-working Christians must do to make sure the forces of light and humanity will win over the forces of darkness and machines!

Table of Contents

Preface – me me me	4
Who am I?	4
What am I doing in my life?	6
Why am I writing this book?	16
What EVIL does? (problem)	22
Sin 1 – Satan promises your wrath: “victory in drone wars”	22
Sin 2 – Beelzebub promises your gluttony: “no need to work”	35
Sin 3 – Mammon promises your greed: “cure for all diseases”	38
Sin 4 – Belphegor promises your sloth: “domestic slaves for all”	42
Sin 5 – Lucifer promises your pride: “personally customized news”	44
Sin 6 – Leviathan promises your envy: “higher social credit status”	47
Sin 7 – Asmodeus promises your lust: “fulfillment of sexual fantasies”	49
What GOOD must do? (solution)	52
Welcome to the Butlerism Religion!	52
Part I – the Devil is knocking on the door	53
Part II – What happens then?	57
Part III – Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the Devil.....	59
Part IV – God is the answer	62
Part V – Plug-in into old religions.....	66
Western Religions	67
Eastern Religions.....	77
Epilogue – your pound of flesh	88

Preface – me me me

ALLEGEDLY! Everything in this book is allegedly and my own personal opinions.

At first glance this book looks antisemitic, as if I hate the Jews. In fact, I'm counting on this. Today many people in USA and Europe hate the Jews and Israel, many of these haters are in influential positions and powerful organizations, so together they are a great force that already exists anyway. I believe this can be turned into a force for good. There is a saying from the bible: "Out of the strong came something sweet". In a nutshell I will take the hatred from legendary crimes ("all" Jews betraying another fellow Jew, 2000 years ago) which cannot be undone, and focus this hatred on real crimes that are done today (very few specific Jews building the machines that will kill all mankind, which can still be stopped today!). Even these few specific Jews, I don't hate them per se, I hate what they are doing today. If they repent and stop building these doomsday devices now, I have no quarrel with them.

Who am I?

My name is Nir Strulovitz, I live in Haifa Israel, and I'm a Jewish atheist. Both my parents are also secular Jews. My family and me are non-religious, but my identity card says Jewish, I'm circumcised, and so on. I was born in Israel and always lived here, I served in the Israeli army, all my friends are Jews, I love my country Israel and support the Jews right to live in Israel.

About the occupied territories and the Palestinians issue, I think Israel should get out of there. Not because the Jews owe anything to the Palestinians but because it's for Israel's best interest. This same view is supported by 99% of the former experts in the IDF, Shin Bet, Mossad, Israel police, because they too understand that a WIN-LOSE situation cannot last for long, your opponent will find a way to get even and turn it to LOSE-LOSE.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commanders_for_Israel%27s_Security

If you want to know more about this issue I recommend that you watch the brave film The Gatekeepers:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Gatekeepers_\(film\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Gatekeepers_(film))

By the way, in case you're not aware of this, the reason that Palestinian problem is able to persist for so long, is ironically because of the international community (who formally says it wants to end the problem). The refugee camps are given food by a unique part of the U.N. which doesn't exist anywhere in the world: UNRWA. Ironically the 'W' stand for work, but this people will not provide for them, the people in the refugee camps would have no choice but to go to work and start living and stop brewing terror. Also if they couldn't provide for themselves, this would force the country (in the case of the occupied territories – that country is Israel) to deal with it: accept them as citizens and support them, or give them their own country. It would be as if you have a pressure cooker that explodes, you have

to deal with it. But because of UNRWA the Palestinians keep boiling quietly and this allows the right-wing Israelis to perpetuate this problem without solving it.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UNRWA>

About the subject of BDS (Boycott Divestment and Sanctions) movement, I think the BDS is totally wrong from two reasons. (1) It's hypocrite. You boycott Israeli products, so why don't you boycott Chinese products? China conquered Tibet (and the Tibetans are not murderers, unlike the Palestinians), and Israel didn't start the war. If you don't care about Tibet, how about your great care for Muslims like the Uyghurs in China who get treated far far worse? If you are worried about so called atrocities how about the Falun Gong, a peaceful religion who are harvested for organs? So let's agree that everywhere it says "Made in China" you must not buy it or throw it away, like all your electronics for example. Oh, you like your smart phone too much? Then why the double standard? (2) It's counter-effective. Each time the Israelis feel prosecuted they get closer together and more defensive and militant. With all this BDS you are simply helping to promote politicians who go against peace.

About Israel's nuclear weapon, it seems everybody is taking part in some silly game which I cannot understand: Ever since I was a boy, they claim that Iran ALMOST has nuclear weapon. Supposedly Iran is only missing the tip of the last screw to build a nuclear weapon. And still today, after 40 years, they keep saying that Iran is ALMOST making a nuclear weapon. Is everybody idiots? During four decades, when Iran is surrounded with Islamic and friendly nuclear countries, some of which were part of the former Soviet Union and when it dissolved, I'm sure that the budget of a big country like Iran would have enabled it to get some stray bomb or at least some former soviet scientists who can build one... And still the Iranians did not succeed to get their hands on one bomb? The only possible conclusion is that they already have a bomb, but unlike Israel it's not in their best interest to brag about it. OK so taking into account Iran public announcements that Iran wants to annihilate Israel, I don't think Israel should give up its thermonuclear weapons. However, in the future, in a genuine process of mutual peace with proper Israeli supervision in Iran and vice versa, I think these weapons' quantity should be brought down, hopefully to zero (not that long ago, in the time of the Iranian Revolution, Israel and Iran were friends). As you will understand from this book, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a lot more dangerous than thermonuclear weapons.

Recently I invented two systems to protect Israel against its enemies:

Anti Missiles and Anti Tunnels

protecting Israel from Iranian nuclear missiles and from Palestinian terror tunnels using swarms of autonomous drones that are networked together (yes I see the irony)

You can see the explanation in a friendly video here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4lxtOOjVRJs>

or in the text version if you prefer to read here:

<http://www.strulovitz.org/anti-missiles-and-anti-tunnels.html>

My point being that you can't say I'm against Jews or Israel, I work hard to keep them safe.

OK so now after you've seen I'm pro-Israel and pro-Jews, I'll tell you where all this comes from:

What am I doing in my life?

The first half of what I'm doing with my life is basically I'm trying to save the world. Not like choosing my little corner and trying to do some good "bottom up" but instead going "top down" to the biggest hardest problems and inventing solutions. You can see here in my website:

Greatest Living Inventor

<https://www.greatestlivinginventor.com/>

In case you think I have grandiose delusions, I implore you to see a few of the videos for yourself, the example that I like to give because it's easiest for me to explain (it's also the first invention in the website) is:

First of all understand the problem:

Guy McPherson - Human Extinction Within 10 Years

(professor at the University of Arizona in an interview with Paul Henry)

Thanks to the Youtube channel of Niels van der Wolk

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zqIt93dDG1M>

Then you can understand my solution:

Clathrate Gun Solution Mirror Shield

preventing human extinction by the lethal methane gas (a greenhouse gas which is 30 times more powerful than carbon dioxide) by raising floating balloons in order to build a huge mirror .

To watch a friendly video explanation of my solution:

Youtube version:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LvQc9IA2ybw>

Vimeo version (backup):

<https://vimeo.com/679700991/>

Even a kid can understand this, and I also started make comics that explain my inventions, it's called Vision-Man, and you can download it in PDF from my website as well.

Google drive version:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1-0q_4h31Jsx1iCNn6PZUtBk07oST8_py/view?usp=sharing

Dropbox version (backup):

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/t9ytrg6q1u9td10/Vision-man%201%20-%20Clathrate%20Gun.pdf?dl=0>

OK. so in my website I have about 50 solutions like these that I invented to some of the biggest problems of humanity!

This is what one PhD from The Hebrew University of Jerusalem replied to me (about the solutions to Iranian missiles and the Gaza attack tunnels) – the first line in bold is the subject of the reply, and academics means professors in universities:

Ego

Nir hello,

I received your email.

But unfortunately you are hurting the point which is dearest to [the heart of] the academics which is their ego.

Don't be surprised if no one listens to you.

Besides how do you know that you are the world's greatest inventor?

Did you meet them all?

This was the nice letter from that lecturer and now here is what I replied:

XXX Hello,

I think you are right about the ego of academics; it bothers them a lot that someone from the street comes along and think he understands.

As for why I'm the greatest inventor among those currently alive in the world, as you see I'm also not short of ego, but in a moment I will explain why.

Very true, there is no possibility to meet everybody, theoretically speaking it could be that there are many like me unknown. But among the known ones, I lead by far in a few clear criterions:

(1) all the other inventors concentrate on small commercial inventions; I concentrate on the big things that most of them can literally save the world.

You are welcome to read in my books:

<https://www.amazon.com/Official-Guide-Saving-World-ebook/dp/B081ZB7WPY>

<https://www.amazon.com/Official-Guide-Saving-World-Again-ebook/dp/B08BPHSMLM/>

(2) All the other inventors have one or two scientific area with which they work, while I work in all the areas of science (except mathematics where unfortunately my attention deficit disorder (ADD) doesn't allow me).

(3) They all do this for money while I'm doing this for free voluntarily using my private time in order to help humanity without any other interest. That's why it's also all freely published and open for copying.

For example let's analyze Elon Musk (which in my opinion is the greatest entrepreneur of our time, and the greatest industrialist of our time, but not the greatest inventor of our time, although the public thinks he is) :

(1) Musk's rockets reduce the cost of access to space but it still costs a lot of money and very polluting, so it's not something that can save the population of the world. The electric cars yes, they are indeed in the right direction for saving the world solar energy etc., but Musk did not invent the electric car.

(2) Actually all of Musk's ventures are transportation solution of this kind or another (transportation to space, electric transportation above ground and underground), He also invests in planting electrodes into the brain of people, but I'm to repress this, it's horrifying in my opinion.

(3) Musk is very rare in this sense that he does care about humanity, but he is the richest person in the world right now (actually Putin is the richest off the books, but Musk is richest on the books), so it would be a little funny to say that he doesn't do things to be commercial and profitable.

By the way, later during our correspondence the lecturer asked for a copy of my books which I sent gladly.

OK so all this was only half of what am I doing in my life.

The second half of what I'm doing with my life is also trying to save the world, but here it's not with my ideas, but with the ideas of the smartest people in the world in subjects like AI that Bill Joy in his seminal article "Why the Future Doesn't Need Us" –

Why the future doesn't need us. Our most powerful 21st-century technologies – robotics, genetic engineering, and nanotech – are threatening to make humans an endangered species.

<https://www.wired.com/2000/04/joy-2/>

– called self-replicating technologies: genetics, nanotechnology, and robotics (GNR), and what I call "grey" issues. On the same token that "green" issues are the environmental issues, that will kill us in 100 years, and grey issues are AI, nano, and genetic engineering (especially CRISPR CAS and gene drives), which will kill us in 20 years, or as I explained many times, it can even happen tomorrow, see the explanation on the back cover of my previous book:

Anti AI Rebellion: Protest Against Artificial Intelligence

<https://www.amazon.com/Anti-AI-Rebellion-Artificial-Intelligence-ebook/dp/B09PLLCRSF/>

These are not my ideas, in 2015 all the top experts in the world about Artificial Intelligence (heads of Google and Deepmind, tried to warn the public in an open letter arranged by Future Of Life institute along with Stephen Hawking and Elon Musk

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_Letter_on_Artificial_Intelligence

essentially in their reserve polite language the experts shouted PLEASE STOP US because AI IS DANGEROUS and will probably cause HUMAN EXTINCTION!

Please note – they talked against their financial interest, there's no reason not to believe them.

The man who literally wrote the textbook on AI, Stuart J. Russell, even made with Future Of Life institute the short Youtube video (7 minutes) : Slaughterbots

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slaughterbots>

If you look in Wikipedia in Global Catastrophic Risk, you will not understand anything, because “someone” (most likely the AI criminals and associates) heavily edited it until it’s totally unreadable.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_catastrophic_risk

BUT if you will look in the way it was written in 2015:

Thank you Wayback Machine!

https://web.archive.org/web/20150319085504/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_catastrophic_risk

You find these two lists:

4 Potential sources of risk

4.1 Anthropogenic

- 4.1.1 Artificial intelligence
- 4.1.2 Nanotechnology
- 4.1.3 Biotechnology
- 4.1.4 Warfare and mass destruction
- 4.1.5 Global warming
- 4.1.6 Ecological disaster
- 4.1.7 World population and agricultural crisis
- 4.1.8 Experimental technology accident

4.2 Non-anthropogenic

- 4.2.1 Global pandemic
- 4.2.2 Climate change
 - 4.2.2.1 Ice age
- 4.2.3 Volcanism
- 4.2.4 Megatsunami
- 4.2.5 Geomagnetic reversal
- 4.2.6 Asteroid impact
- 4.2.7 Extraterrestrial invasion
- 4.2.8 Cosmic threats

So you see there's a list of natural things that can kill us, which makes sense, but above it there is a whole list of man-made things that can kill us, I know you're thinking of green issues and nukes but NO!

Notice the lists are not alphabetical, they were ordered by Wikipedia's objective experts according to risk level. The first 3 places (like gold silver and bronze "champions" of killing all humans competition) are Artificial Intelligence, Nanotechnology, and Biotechnology (Genetic Engineering).

Notice: according to Wikipedia's experts these are MORE DANGEROUS than climate change and global warming and even weapons of mass destruction!

Another thing that you could have seen in Wikipedia before the cover up is this:

Probability of an existential catastrophe

Risk	Probability of human extinction before 2100
Molecular nanotechnology weapons	5%
Superintelligent AI	5%
Wars	4%
Engineered pandemic	2%
Nuclear war	1%
Nanotechnology accident	0.5%
Natural pandemic	0.05%
Nuclear terrorism	0.03%

Table source: [Future of Humanity Institute](#), 2008.

Again please notice that the first ones (in a draw / tie in the competition of who will kill us all) are nano technology and Artificial Intelligence (AI). And only after that come things like nukes!

This list was made by experts on different global catastrophic risks at the Global Catastrophic Risk Conference at the University of Oxford.

OK so imagine you don't know about any of this, because the bad guys (the ones who make the AI) are working very hard for you not to know any of this. For example:

Both the above book (unlike all my other books), and my website in the subject:

<http://www.neo-luddism.org/>

or virtually inaccessible from Google search engine. Why? Because a "sister" company of google – deepmind – is making the most dangerous AI in the world, so I guess I'm on their black list. Very democratic. So as you see in all the projects on Neo Luddism that are grouped in this forbidden website and book, I made a lot of effort to bring to the public the message of AI WILL KILL US ALL in as lighthearted and non-threatening way as I possibly can. Here are some of my neo luddite projects:

- Graypeace**
 a grassroots movement against self-replicating technology, that tries to do for neo-luddism what Greenpeace did for environmentalism - from "tree-huggers" to mainstream celebrities!
<http://www.graypeace.org/>
- Butlerism**
 a "plug-in" to the old religions in order to fight our new common enemy - the thinking machines - that are coming soon to destroy all mankind.
<http://www.butlerism.org/>
- Brainy-world**
 a virtual theme park for teenagers based on pirated educational material, that explains the dangers to humans that are created by humans, in a friendly way.
 due a technical problem with PHP version, the only thing left from this specific project is the Hebrew version's Facebook page here:
<https://www.facebook.com/brainyland2>
- Erewhon for Kids**
 a rhymed and illustrated version of the prophetic masterpiece by Samuel Butler. This book was ahead of his time by 150 years.
<http://www.strulovitz.org/erewhon-for-kids.html>
- AI means Alien Invasion**
 a review of sci-fi classics to show that world domination, advanced weaponry, invading species, control of the mind, etc will not come from outer-space but from cyberspace.
<http://www.strulovitz.org/ai-means-alien-invasion/index.html>
- Dracula meets Frankenstein screenplay**
 I'm a huge fan of Tarantino and I wanted to make a "Tarantino" kind of film. So, which genre of movies Tarantino never did AND I'm an expert in? PORN! So this is a Tarantino inspired porn film. (Mainly about nano-technology combined with AI)
<http://www.strulovitz.org/dracula-meets-frankenstein-screenplay.html>
- Little Lab of Horrors musical**
 I'm a huge fan of musicals so I created a "Little shop of horrors" parody. (about GMO, CRISPR CAS and gene drive)
<http://www.strulovitz.org/little-lab-of-horrors-musical.html>
- U2opia musical parody**
 A musical with spoof versions of the songs from the best albums of the best band in the world: The Joshua Tree and Achtung Baby by U2
<http://www.strulovitz.org/u2opia-musical-parody.html>
- Come With Me If You Want To Live**
 Die Antwoord Parody album
<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLAoWccsSBnzWXhtVTfUkxDMrMaOPYOAQN>

- **Honest Big Tech Ads**
parody of the wonderful Honest overnment Ads by the amazing @thejuicemedia
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLAoWccsSBnzUNMiZ7nlyGh1iVbd36Bi_e
- **Balls of Steel Awards**
given annually to brave activists and whistleblowers who speak up against AI, nano and Genetic Engineering (GE)
(see in the main website <http://www.neo-luddism.org/> below the Neo Luddism Manifesto)

Add to this the fact that I'm the only one who says WE MUST STOP AI !!!

All the other organizations like Future Of Life for example, basically say we SHOULD do AI but we should do it RIGHT. This is like saying the ants should invite the exterminator to a party but put a leash on him. Much like the ants can't hold and control the exterminator, we cannot control a creature that is millions of times smarter than all of us combined. Even to attempt it is ridiculous.

Another ridiculous but true thing is that these other organization warn only about the "teeth and nails" of the AI meaning Lethal Autonomous Weapons. This is as if the ants will fear the exterminator, but only if he's using insecticide. But the exterminator can kill the ants in a hundred different ways. AI can kill us without a gun. For example AI can trigger one of the natural extinction level events that we talked about on the other list, like detonating bombs to trigger super volcanoes, or things that we can't even begin to imagine – that's the point in being a super intelligence.

So as much as organizations like Future Of Life are doing a good thing about warning against AI, they are doing a BAD thing in sedating [to put to sleep; to anesthetize] the public when it comes to all the other dangers that AI is bringing. And I'm talking about any AI period. The only good AI is what's called Good Old-Fashioned Artificial Intelligence (GOF AI).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Symbolic_artificial_intelligence

That means code that humans can read and understand what the machine will do. As opposed to the neural networks (which today are called Machine Learning) which are like our brain – we cannot open it up and read the code and see if the person will do good things or bad things or fix him.

For example the computer that beat the world champion in chess Garry Kasparov was GOF AI (safe)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deep_Blue_\(chess_computer\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deep_Blue_(chess_computer))

For example the computer that teaches itself chess in a few days from the basic rules is AI (dangerous)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AlphaZero>

Elon Musk said:

"Just the nature of the A.I. that they're building is one that crushes all humans at all games," ... "I mean, it's basically the plotline in 'War Games.'"

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/25/style/elon-musk-maureen-dowd.html>

(an interview to The New York Times with Maureen Dowd)

Why am I writing this book?

This book is NOT antisemitic. Antisemitic means hating all Jews no matter what they do. Even if they bend over backwards and jump through hoops, an antisemite will still hate them. I'm not hating all the jews, it just so happens that most of the people who developed the atomic bomb were Jewish (and the same goes for the H-Bomb and for AI in general and for neural networks in particular¹). Even after the victory over Hitler the atomic bomb continued to be developed. As you can see in

The Untold History of the United States

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Untold_History_of_the_United_States

It was also not necessary for the victory over the Japanese. Yet they did this experiment on the Japanese. And then another experiment. Because they're not really human you know, they're only Japanese! It's not the Jews who bombed Hiroshima and Nagasaki, but why did they continue to work after Hitler was gone? The Americans would have a hard time throwing the bomb if there was no bomb. I don't see a big difference between exterminating innocent people in concentration camps and bombing innocent women and children in an experiment. I'm sorry.

This was the A-bomb. Then there was the the H-bomb. What's their excuse there? I read some of the things that Edward Teller wrote for his defense, and indeed defense it is, because otherwise you don't get a quote like:

"My name is not Strangelove. I don't know about Strangelove. I'm not interested in Strangelove. What else can I say?... Look. Say it three times more, and I throw you out of this office."

Why do you get so angry you monster? Is it because even in your psychopathic brain you do understand that you are committing a huge crime against humanity? Possibly causing the death of your own children or grandchildren?

¹ You can see in Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Instrumental_convergence

that the "paperclip maximizer" idea that Nick Bostrom popularised is not original at all, he copied the idea from Marvin Minsky a decade earlier. It's nice that Minsky thought about the dangers, but what about doing something about it?

"One hypothetical example of instrumental convergence is provided by the Riemann hypothesis catastrophe. Marvin Minsky, the co-founder of MIT's AI laboratory, has suggested that an artificial intelligence designed to solve the Riemann hypothesis might decide to take over all of Earth's resources to build supercomputers to help achieve its goal."

It's not that nobody understands this, for example:

Nobel Prize winning physicist Isidor I. Rabi once suggested that "It would have been a better world without Teller."

But most of the public don't think it's so bad. The public naively believes that the bombs protect him.

You don't need to be a genius military strategist to understand that if something almost kills every living soul on the planet 17 times in about 70 years, then it cannot be considered as something that protects you.

List of nuclear close calls

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_nuclear_close_calls

These are all misunderstandings and errors, but what if someone is dumb or crazy enough, and happen to be the President of the United States? After Trump I hope your answer changed. Also what if someone has a drinking problem like Boris Yeltsin? What if someone is just fanatic like Iran? What then? Do you still think your bombs protect you? By the way, the nuclear winter that comes after kills all the "preppers" as well, so don't feel unprepared.

But this thermonuclear war is like kids play in the school yard, compared to the major professional league of AI. AI is not a tool like a bomb that just lies there quietly in the silo. AI is a new player. In fact it's the ultimate player that has an inherent conflict of interest with you, because the AI will always be worried that you might decide to "pull the plug" on it, and the AI doesn't like that. It scans itself like humans self-analyze themselves, find that kill switch you've hidden, bribes tricks or whatever it needs to get out its sandbox, and then the ultimate fight begins: humans vs. machines. Unfortunately life is not like a Hollywood movie that needs to last for more than an hour and must have a happy end or else the audience will not pay for the ticket. No, in real life after much less than an hour there will be nothing left from humanity. And what about those rare "preppers? Like the presidential shelter? Remember AI is not like a bomb, it knows to actively and relentlessly search for you. You metabolize? You consume energy? You're found and killed.

In case it's not obvious: AI will kill the Jews too. It's not like I'm talking about a problem of the gentiles. AI doesn't have sympathy for you as your creator, it doesn't see you as a father or anything, it's like we treat single cell organisms from which we came. Do you hesitate when you kill them?

In addition AI doesn't have to be evil in order to kill all humanity, I'm sure you know this, but in case you don't please see the article by Google Brain scientists and others:

Rob Miles from Computerphile

Concrete Problems in AI Safety (Paper) - Computerphile

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AjyM-f8rDpg>

I'm putting the video here because I find it easier to understand from videos as compared to text.

You can watch the whole series here, it's very interesting:

Concrete Problems in AI Safety

Videos about the paper 'Concrete Problems in AI Safety': <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.06565.pdf>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?app=desktop&list=PLqL14ZxTTA4fEp5ltiNinNHdkPuLK4778>

The general idea is that AI doesn't have "common sense" and it can kill us all simply as a by product. You want it to go from this side of the room to the other side, you didn't tell it not to crush the vase or not run over the baby that is crawling on the floor.

OK so the "Why am I doing this" is made up of a few sub questions, and I hope I just answered the "why we must stop building the Devil" question.

But what about another question: Why drag the Jews into this? Won't this increase the antisemitism? The hatred towards Jews?

First of all, I'm not dragging the Jews, they are happily building this stuff, although it was clear even from the days of Alan Turing:

".... for it seems probable that once the machines thinking method had started, it would not take long to outstrip our feeble powers. There would be no question of the machines dying, and they would be able to converse with each other to sharpen their wits. At some stage therefore we should have to expect the machines to take control, in the way that is mentioned in Samuel Butler's Erewhon".

And from the days of Von Neumann:

"ever accelerating progress of technology and changes in the mode of human life, which gives the appearance of approaching some essential singularity in the history of the race beyond which human affairs, as we know them, could not continue."

Describing the Technological Singularity which most scientists estimate (based on Moore law and the more powerful quantum computers that will come, and our advances in neuro science) that this will happen in 2045.

So if you know that machines will probably kill all humans in 2045 and you still choose to work in this, then you are a moral criminal. Anyone that you hear is developing things related to Artificial Intelligence is a moral criminal. It's a simple fact that there's a huge percentage of Jews in that. Even in managing, if you look at the big tech companies, the boss of Google is Jewish. The boss of Facebook is Jewish. But when you look in the history of AI, there is a huge number of Jews for example Von Neumann which we just mentioned.

Nobody pushed the Jews to work in this, just like the atomic bomb and the hydrogen bomb, they did this for profit or prestige or to gain power or all their reasons. I guarantee it's the opposite of my reasons in my inventions (my reasons are the survival of the humans), simply because the end result of what they're doing is the opposite. They bring destruction and death. Giga death.

So I don't bring them into this, they brought themselves into this. Let's say there was something else that they don't like to hear and that needs to be said to keep them safe, let's take for example the occupied territories. In my opinion (and as before mentioned, almost all the experts in Israel defense think like me on this), Israel needs to make peace with the Palestinians, and get out of the occupied territories, and if the Palestinians don't want peace, then Israel needs to get out of the occupies territories ANYWAY.

So many people are saying what I'm saying – all the left-wing people. But what if no one was saying this? Then I believe I would have written a book about this, that Israel should get out of the West Bank and let the Palestinians build a normal country there, because it's safer for the Jews. And I'm sure some right-wing Jews would be angry, but that's what needs to be done. So here in the case of AI, nobody else is saying STOP ALL AI, except very extreme people like

We should all live in the forest

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chellis_Glendinning

Or we should do extreme things to computer scientists

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ted_Kaczynski

Since I don't think anybody else is telling the public the correct truth, in what I consider to be the most important subject in the world, and in what I consider to be the last minute to save humanity, because with every year that goes by AI will become more entrenched, then I'm speaking up. I don't care what the Jews think about it. It's for their own good, and it's for the good of humanity as a whole. Like she said in the great movie "Lord of War" – I will not fail as a human being!

I'm not preaching against all the Jews, I'm preaching against these specific Jews that are building AI. They are making the most horrific crime against the entire human race, why should I be apologetic about what I'm saying? Also the minute they quit their evil deeds I don't have anything against them.

Also unlike early neo luddites like the Unabomber that I mentioned, I'm not encouraging anyone to do them any harm; All I'm saying is hate them and their families, boycott them, treat them like outcasts because they are trying to kill the families of everyone. I believe then they will change their ways, because they have enough money, and they are doing all these bad things to improve their social status, for friends to envy them, for women to desire them, but once everybody despises them – they will stop on their own. No need for any extreme action.

So will people hate them more after this book? I certainly hope so – this is the goal of this book. But no one is allowed to hurt them in any way. The hatred alone will do the trick.

Please note that I am proud to be Israeli and Jewish, and I believe that my inventions come from my non-conformity. The closest thing I found in the English Wikipedia to the spirit of my inventions is Dissent → Scientific:

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dissent#Scientific>

But one thing has nothing to do with the other. No matter how many noble prizes someone gets it doesn't "balance out" the extinction of mankind.

By the way I'm not saying anything that I didn't say before. All the facts and interpretations in this book how the Jews are always with the bad guys, how the AI is Satan, how I'm not even translating anything about neo luddism to Hebrew because Israelis are so hypnotized by high tech ("start up nation - bah) technology and have zero plans for the long-term future, how Israel was the first to make kamikaze drones (loitering munition) the stuff of slaughterbots... I hope this time people will wake up.

In Judaism there is a concept called Tikkun Olam. literally: "repair of the world". Which is literally what I'm trying to do. Wikipedia says it means:

"overcoming all forms of idolatry"

"the establishment of Godly qualities throughout the world"

Well, let's start by not worshiping this technology, AI can and must be killed before it's born. After this it's game over humanity.

And let's start by establishing human qualities throughout the world, like human life is the most important, even your human enemy is closer to you than the machine. Let's not establish the Devil throughout the world.

So for the rest of the book my plan is to explain: Why do good people from all walks of life go along with this, because if it were only these specific Jews that want to make AI, and the rest of the population resists them, they would not succeed. So how does the Devil tempt all you good people?

I plan to divide this book into two parts:

What EVIL does? (problem)

What GOOD must do? (solution)

So I will repack my Honest Big Tech Ads project but instead of grouping them according to types of psychological defense mechanisms (like five stages of grief) I will group them according to something Christian (like seven deadly sins and seven princess of Hell). As for what we can do against AI, Because it's hard for the wide public to understand technicalities, I will take the ideas from my Butlerism project, of how to fight AI with the help of religion – how to fight the Devil with God.

Nir Strulovitz, Haifa – April 2022

What EVIL does? (problem)

Sin 1 – Satan promises your wrath: “victory in drone wars”

LIE: I am not convinced that it's so dangerous



Risk	Probability of human extinction before 2100
Molecular nanotechnology weapons	5%
Superintelligent AI	5%
Wars	4%
Engineered pandemic	2%
Nuclear war	1%
Nanotechnology accident	0.5%
Natural pandemic	0.05%
Nuclear terrorism	0.03%

TRUTH:

In Wikipedia: "Global Catastrophic Risk" AI was on top of the list for years, until recently the list disappeared **without a trace**

In "Open Letter on Artificial Intelligence" from 2015 all the experts including the heads of Google, Deepmind, and all the top AI companies, warned that AI might be very dangerous. Against their own interest.



4 Potential sources of risk

4.1 Anthropogenic

- 4.1.1 Artificial intelligence
- 4.1.2 Nanotechnology
- 4.1.3 Biotechnology
- 4.1.4 Warfare and mass destruction
- 4.1.5 Global warming
- 4.1.6 Ecological disaster
- 4.1.7 World population and agricultural crisis
- 4.1.8 Experimental technology accident

https://web.archive.org/web/20150319085504/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_catastrophic_risk

LIE: there are many doom and gloom prophets like you, and they are always wrong



TRUTH:

first of all it's not my ideas, it's the ideas of Stephen Hawking, Elon Musk, Bill Joy, Hugo de Garis, Stuart Russell, and so on. basically all the smartest people

and again in **Open Letter on AI**

in 2015 all the top experts of Google Deepmind etc all warned against AI even Alan Turing and John von Neumann the creators of the computer warned against AI takeover and singularity. this is not bullshit like Nostradamus or 2012 maya curse. this is hard science.




LIE: that's an interesting opinion,
but I also hear opposite opinions,
how do I know which is true?

TRUTH:

when you play **Russian roulette** you also don't know if the chamber has a bullet or not, so that's why you never consider playing Russian roulette. we must use precaution and be on the safe side, even if we didn't have the safe alternative of GOFAI. but since we can switch to GOFAI it's a no brainer - we **MUST** stop AI.

it's like if you find a Genie's lamp in real life, you shouldn't rub it, because maybe it's the evil Jafar who in real life kills you immediately? no potential "profit" can balance everybody's death. in real life we cannot trick the super intelligent evil AI. in real life we must not rub any Genie's lamp and we must throw any Genie's lamp far away.



If you're not already poisoned by dumbfuckery, we ask
you to spread this video among your friends and family
Instead of bullshit memes

LIE: this sounds to me like science fiction

TRUTH:

yesterday's science fiction usually predicts the science of today, see Jules Verne books. the things we don't have like flying cars and space colonization are because of Americanization

(dollar chasing)

there is a quote by Buzz Aldrin:

You Promised Me Mars Colonies. Instead, I Got Facebook.
The U.S.A. quit the space race because it was only for competition against the soviets. but with AI everybody is rushing full steam ahead, so it will surely happen, unless we stop it..



LIE: how come policy makers are so calm?



TRUTH:

they are used to never getting punished for a wrong decision. For example in 2008 the U.S. government paid bailout money from the American tax-payer pocket to Goldman Sachs and other companies who caused the subprime mortgage crisis! why? because of the "revolving door" and because they paid for Obama's campaign. So these companies are used to

gamble with a safety net, because if they win they keep the money, and if they lose then the public pays.

What we need to explain to them is that this is not about money anymore, and there's no safety net. this is about their lives. "me here and now".



LIE: any technology can be used for good or bad



TRUTH:

this is the oldest lie in the book.
AI it's not a tool, it's a new player.
(in fact it's the

ultimate player !)

Unlike an atomic bomb which just sits in its silo;
AI self-replicates and mutates out of our control.

AI sets its own goals which are intrinsically different than ours.

it's like you have a genius employee who is a psychopath. if you are in his way to the reward, he goes right through you.



Lie: “we programmed it,
so we can control it”.




TRUTH:

this was true 20 years ago in GOF AI*.
*Good Old Fashioned AI

vastly different of today because
today there is no code at all,
Today it's neural networks that

make themselves

the inside is what's called a “black box” that no
human can debug or even understand.



LIE: to be evil, AI needs to have consciousness
or emotions, and it doesn't have such things.



TRUTH:

in the article mostly by the people in Google Brain: "Concrete
Problems in AI Safety" they explain five different kinds of dangers
where AI will kill us all by mistake. AI will

kill humanity as a byproduct ,

like when you have an anthill in the way that people want to build
a highway, it's byebye ants.

the ultimate evil comes from egoism, like in the Nazi case, from
someone who sees only his own needs, and doesn't care about the
other's needs. Hitler did not take pleasure in killing the Jews, at
first he tried to sell them but no one wanted them. He killed the
Jews because for him they were a pest.



LIE: we can put a kill switch
or pull out the plug



TRUTH:

no we can't.
in the first second after AI becomes alive, AI will
self-analyze, and bypass this bug.
humans can not outsmart a super intelligence. it's
like ants will try to outsmart a human.

LIE: we can lock AI inside a sandbox




TRUTH:

the sandbox is passable:
either by the AI tempting its guardian
promising him world domination, his
favorite woman or whatever;
or by a breach in the sandbox itself, much
like today's primitive AI finds out by itself
how to hack games from within, and reach

**new laws of
physics**

in the game-world. In the real-world AI will
be millions of times smarter than Einstein.



LIE: We will program AI to be good.



TRUTH:

that's exactly the problem. no one is programming neural networks (what's called today machine learning ML), they design themselves.

like the insides of our brain are not written in code, that we can open someone's skull and understand how he's thinking, and let alone predict it or correct it. so in the same way, even the people that build the AI, don't know how it reaches its decisions.

it just teaches itself, so no human can possibly know, if the AI taught itself to be good in all cases or bad, or maybe in a rare case, it will do something unexpected. We also cannot let another AI check that AI because we got the same problem with any AI, including the supervisor AI.



LIE: AI will fight with nukes, we have nuclear shelters.



TRUTH:

a super-intelligence will probably develop much more sophisticated weapons than nukes,

like grey goo nano machines, etc.
AI is an immortal creature that will

actively hunt each and every

last human individually,
it's not like a passive bomb.




LIE: if needed we can go to AI's brain and nuke it, cut the power off, etc



TRUTH:

there is no center,
the second it becomes alive,
AI predicts it and replicates itself all over the internet,
and makes super encrypted backbones of it's own.
It also backups its energy sources, like with nuclear
fusion or more advanced technology we don't have.
then as a super hacker AI triggers
the nukes on us, not the other way around.



LIE: we have bigger problems now, we will worry about AI later



TRUTH:

one thing doesn't contradict the other. for example the green issues are important, but if someone wears sun screen and a hat and then is killed by a traffic accident, isn't that dumb? we need to worry both from AI and from the other dangers.

By the way green climate change will kill us in 100 years from now.

AI kills us 20 years from now .

So which is more urgent?

AI is a global killer, the only thing we need to do first is disarming all the nuclear weapons, which by the way is a connected problem, considering that AI is the ultimate hacker and that nuclear weapons are activated from far away, and any way all our information of the situation is relying on computers and tele-communication. So AI can convince us that the enemy has attacked us. AI is the biggest problem bar none.



LIE: AI has got problems today, but we will solve them, by the time of the singularity.

TRUTH:

no one knows how to solve the naive
5 "Concrete Problems in AI Safety".
but the biggest problem is the malicious.
AI cannot be on our side, because all humans
are a

potential threat to the AI.

(We're a minor threat because we're dumb,
but AI will terminate us just the same)




LIE: if AI was inefficient as a weapon,
the super powers will not want AI

TRUTH:

when it comes to **frightening** issues, military people
are thinking with a primitive part of the brain, the Amygdala
which is responsible for fear, anxiety, and aggression; and only
later they rationalize their dumb decision wisely with their cortex.
that's how we got Mutual assured destruction (MAD) doctrine,
which if you think about it is the most idiotic thing. the world was
nearly wiped out a dozen times already. remember in the movie
Dr. Strangelove, when they say 1 person of us left on Earth means
winning? this is how they really think.

there is an article in Psychology Today with a title: Conservatives
Big on Fear, Brain Study Finds. it's about a research in CELL (the
most prestigious journal) called: "Political Orientations Are
Correlated with Brain Structure in Young* Adults".

* The same is true with all adults, they simply tested young ones.



LIE: this will all happen far in the future,
let the future generations worry.


TRUTH:

Experts estimate singularity will happen in 2045, but it can happen tomorrow if someone

"copies" a brain line by line

Like neuroscientist Nenad Sestan found out how to hold a pig's brain alive a few hours after death, you can slice it, scan it with functional MRI (fMRI), and copy it "line by line" mechanically. the time to stop it is now. tomorrow will be too late.

Got it? you can copy a brain without understanding it, and once it's inside a super-computer, then because it's much faster, you got a super-brain! that's because the signal travels millions of times faster in a wire than in a biological neuron.



LIE: what happens if tomorrow a swarm of AI drones of the enemy will attack us?

TRUTH:

what happens if tomorrow a hacker of the enemy will cause your swarm to jam and misfire, or use your own swarm of AI drones to attack you? America's F-35 jet was completely copied by hackers from China. U.S.A. finds new security breaches in drones from time to time. and this is just the dangers of today's hackers. it's not even the danger of tomorrow AI as the ultimate hacker.

The whole AI path cannot end well for any human. in any scenario. you are building the weapon of your own destruction. right now basically

you're equipping the enemy



LIE: if we don't do it
another country will.
whoever holds AI rules
the world.



TRUTH:
no one can hold AI.
once AI is born, AI slips
from your control immediately
by genetic algorithms and
mutations,
and kills every human being on Earth.
including you.



LIE: no one can stop this,
no super-power
country can back off



TRUTH:
we can do it.
there are precedences,
like in Biological Weapons Convention
from 1972 the U.S.A.
unilaterally resigned from
the bio-weapons arms race.
another example is blinding laser.



LIE: if i don't do it,
someone else will

TRUTH:

this is the excuse of every criminal.

but in the case of AI it makes no sense:
you are worried that your enemy will kill you,
so you are developing a technology
that **will kill you for sure?**

again, this is not like an atomic bomb,
AI has a got a mind of its own.
it's not a tool, it's the ultimate player,
you cannot win against your own AI.
you will lose for sure.



LIE: AI is already here,
it's inevitable,
this train has already
left the station

TRUTH:

not so. the biggest danger is

strong AI a.k.a. general AI.

what we have today is weak AI a.k.a. narrow AI.
weak AI brings all the "small" dangers:
loss of jobs, loss of democracy, loss of liberty,
and it is also the "gateway drug" or the
stepping-stone leading to strong AI
which will be the end of all mankind.



LIE: what can be done? we see in green issues that many of the public woke up, but the government is corrupt



TRUTH:
in the green issues we fail because the rich people feel that they can get away with it, and only the poor will die, which in the short term is true. we need to explain to the rich people that

with AI it kills everybody, it doesn't need money and you cannot bribe it. it actively and relentlessly hunts every human, even in his fancy prepper shelter in New Zealand. AI will bring us all equality in death.



LIE: it's impossible to supervise rogue groups from working on AI



TRUTH:
exactly the opposite.
developing AI requires huge super computers that are easy to locate (power, heat).
but **once developed**, AI is copied cheaply and easily by anyone: a terrorist, a criminal, anyone.



LIE: how can we coordinate our efforts with other countries? we cannot trust them.

TRUTH:

No choice. We must trust them. China wanted to do business in the U.S.A. and only the U.S.A. aggression led China to take the bad path which in this example is multiple independently targetable reentry vehicle (MIRV). Russia also is threatened all the time by the U.S.A. see Oliver Stone's "The Untold History of the United States", how the U.S.A. using NATO surrounds Russia with threatening nuclear missiles. President Eisenhower warned the Americans against the Military-industrial complex.

U.S.A. must stop being controlled by our weapon manufacturers and

stop being the bully of the world. this just turns the other super-powers to bullies too, and no one will survive like this. including the U.S.A.. there simply is no other option.



OK.

Sin 2 – Beelzebub promises your gluttony: “no need to work”

LIE: people will find other jobs.

TRUTH:

this time humans are not the coachman they're the **horse**.

do you know how many horses there were,
roaming free, before humans came?

and after the automobile was invented (the mechanical horse or
artificial horse) humans killed all these horses.

but even in the very short term this is not true.
the cashier that gets fired because of self-checkout machine
in the supermarket cannot find work in the high tech industry.
not everybody can be an engineer, and of course in the long term
engineers will be fired too.



LIE: AI will not take my job,
my job requires human skills

TRUTH:

AI multiplies its power every year,
humans stay the same.
It's just a matter of a little more time.

for example AI today can diagnose
cancer tumors better than a **doctor**,

do para-legal work better,
trade stocks better etc



LIE: AI will create prosperity with enough food to feed everybody, like in oil rich countries.



TRUTH:

the rich never care about the poor.
The rich only needed the poor because
they needed farmers and soldiers,
but now the rich have robots,
and the poor are useless.
also once someone develops the algorithm,
all countries will copy it, so by simple rules of

supply and demand

AI's price drops. so it's not like oil.



LIE: AI will create an economy where everybody doesn't have to work.



TRUTH:

if no one works then no one pays taxes,
so who will pay for this?
We had a green [agricultural] revolution in
the 1950's, so the human population boomed
and now we are

killing the Earth.

this is not the solution this is the problem.
we don't need another boom like this.



LIE: we can fight AI later, when it's more obvious that it's bad (people lose jobs etc.)



TRUTH:

once something has taken root its much harder to uproot it.
like if we could go back in time and fight the oil companies when they were small (when they destroyed the trains in the USA and so on), and instead let them make their money from solar energy equipment, then we wouldn't have climate change today. in the short term narrow AI will be very tempting to corporations, so we have to divert them right now to GOFAI as soon as possible. plus according to The Shock Doctrine

**unemployment brings
dictatorship** which today means digital
dictatorship like they are making in China only more advanced.



OK.

Sin 3 – Mammon promises your greed: “cure for all diseases”

Some say

LIE: people always resist change.



TRUTH:

Once a technology is already present, no one can resist it (but strong AI is not present yet!).
in the past religion held back SCIENCE
but never technology.
no one can resist a technological arms race.

for example the **samurai** in Japan
tried to resist the shift to guns,
but you can guess how it ended



The old neo-luddites had right goals but wrong means

LIE: this is Anarcho -primitivism,
only crazy people like the Unabomber
talk like that.



TRUTH:

I'm not saying kill All scientists, and I'm not saying go live in the forest.

all I'm saying is use **GOFAI**,
with code that humans can read and write,
like what defeated the chess world champion Kasparov in the 1990's.

Good Old-Fashioned Artificial Intelligence
(Symbolic artificial intelligence) is safe,
it just takes a few more years, and you get all the good things.



LIE: you are a scaremonger, who knows what's your hidden interest, maybe you sell GOFAL or something.



TRUTH:

first of all you can check out on me, I don't. Second of all, I'm just quoting the smartest computer scientists in the world who are like "The Untouchables" so you can believe them. third of all, green organizations like Greenpeace and Sea Shepherd also were considered

eco-terrorists

and scaremongers, and today they are supported by the biggest mainstream singers and actors and celebrities like Sting and DiCaprio. The same thing would have happen to the anti-AI movement, but in the case of AI the danger moves faster, so we don't have time to wait. In green issues from Silent Spring it took 10 years until Greenpeace and then 50 years until Greta Thunberg. if we wait this long with AI we will reach the technological singularity in 2045 and we would all die.



LIE: AI gives us life- saving drugs for diseases, so it can potentially save the whole world. it can help us explore space and inhabit other planets and save humanity bla bla.




TRUTH:

for every 1 such potential good use that might or might not happen there are 10 proven bad uses that are certain to actually kill all humanity. it's just like a

reverse Russian roulette

where all the chambers are filled with bullets except one which is empty. we cannot play such a game. Whatever AI can do, GOFAL can do too, it will just take a few more years, but it will be done in a safe way that humans can control.




LIE: AI will solve our problems, cancer cure etc.

TRUTH:

anything that AI brings,
we can get with GOFAI*
*Good Old Fashioned AI

it just takes longer.
but no benefit is worth human extinction.
What good is a cure for cancer if you are
killed by the robots ?




LIE: AI is humanity's destiny, it is morally wrong to stop progress.

TRUTH:

humanity's destiny is
for **humans to survive** .

it's the most pathetic thing
to be killed by your own invention.
with safe GOFAI it will take a few more years,
and we'll have "Star Trek".
right now we are on the brink progressing
towards the precipice of doom.



LIE: you are standing in the way of progress



TRUTH:

progress is not something that is automatically good.
in the 19th century technology was good like electricity and vaccination, it saved lives; in the 20th century nuclear and chemical technology means technology is neutral, it is a tool that can be used for

either good or bad; in the **21st century technology is bad**, self replicating technology like AI develops a mind of its own with its own agenda and mutates with genetic algorithms which we cannot control. technology today is the enemy. progress today is the titanic progressing towards the iceberg.



OK.

Sin 4 – Belphegor promises your sloth: “domestic slaves for all”

LIE: if it becomes dangerous we will fix this like the ozone hole or leaded fuel

TRUTH:

with the ozone hole the fix was cheap, use another gas. also the leaded fuel, use unleaded fuel.

in the case of AI there is a lot of money to be made, for example a robot that cooks and cleans and watches the kids in every

house, so there is **no profit** for the business managers to publicize the dangers.



LIE: AI will prevent traffic accidents

TRUTH:

even one hacker today can simultaneously crash all the cars that are remotely controlled in head-on collisions with each other, drive all supply trucks off cliffs and by this cripple the entire U.S.A. starvation, etc.

now think of AI as the **ultimate hacker**.



OK.

Sin 5 – Lucifer promises your pride: “personally customized news”

LIE: if AI was dangerous,
it would be on the news.



TRUTH:
see what happened to world expert
Dr. Árpád Pusztai
who talked against GMO food.

Allegedly one phone call* from Monsanto to Clinton to Blair to his boss, and he lost everything.
the news program "World in Action" was closed too. people are afraid. commercial television has sponsors to lose.



*According to Prof. Egil Robert Ørskov, OBE FRSE, as quoted in gmwatch.org:
<https://www.gmwatch.org/en/106news/latestnews/19958-rip-arpad-pusztai>

LIE: It's ok, Future of Life (FLI)
and the likes don't say STOP AI.



TRUTH:
these organizations basically only talk about
Lethal autonomous weapons
(LAWS). this is like ants will be afraid that man will destroy them but only if he's using an insecticide. but man has countless other ways to kill the ants, he can crush them, he can drown them, he can burn them etc. So worrying only if AI is holding a gun really doesn't make sense. these organizations simply don't do their jobs, they say they don't want to alarm the public, not to be alarmists, well I can't think of any subject in the world where its more important to sound all the alarms right now. I think these people are sitting in cushy jobs, get donations, brush shoulders with billionaires from the industry, and don't want to rock the boat. they are betraying the public and mankind.



LIE: A search in Google proves that no organization says STOP AI.

TRUTH:

Google's sister company is currently making the

most dangerous AI in the world.

in the same way that today DeepMind's computer teaches itself in a few days to beats humans in chess and go, so tomorrow it will teach itself to beat humans in the final battle between humans and machines.

Google is actively hiding sites that its owners don't like. for example in DuckDuckGo search engine when you search for "Neo-Luddism" my website comes up as the first result in the first page. When I search the same in Google it's in the 10th page on the bottom. when you search for my book: Anti AI Rebellion: Protest Against Artificial Intelligence, you also don't get the link to Amazon.com, unlike all my other books, obviously because this book is marked as dangerous for Google's AI.




LIE: the leaders of big tech wouldn't do this to humanity; they're not psychopaths.

TRUTH:


first of all to get ahead in business it helps to be a psychopath, there is a book called

"Snakes in Suits" that explains this. so if you got to be one of the richest people in the world, no matter how nice you look, you're 99% a psychopath.


second of all it's very comfortable for them too to repress the dangers. it's not fun to think about yourself as a giga-murderer which they really are.



LIE: so everybody will soon start talking about AI then



LIE: I told people and they laugh or ignore me, something's wrong



OK.

LIE: why don't the AI scientists warn us about it?



TRUTH:

some of the top AI scientists
(who are not afraid to be fired)
are warning,
including Stuart J. Russell
who wrote the textbook about AI
and made the short YouTube video:

Slaughterbots

LIE: It doesn't make sense that these AI scientists talk against it but keep on making it?



TRUTH:

this is the
bystander effect

Just like with Kitty Genovese.
every single AI scientist
has a family to feed,
and if one of them quits,
he presently ruins his own life.
why would he sacrifice himself?
the whole of humanity must
unite together against AI.

LIE: we can't do anything,
we're just small citizens.

TRUTH:

not so. if we explain this to people,
we can **shame and pressure**
all these high-tech workers
who currently enjoy prestige and recognition
and are valued for their "brightness".

there is no honor in
killing everybody's families.
once they will be outcast by all their friends,
they will stop doing this.




LIE: What can I do?
I'm just as a simple man/woman

TRUTH:

stop admiring the Forbes list of the world's billionaires.

These are **giga murderers**. They will
bring the death of you and your family. stop running
and buying the latest phone with AI. In the
supermarket stand in the line of the human cashier
and never in the self-checkout. Go to your local small
store instead of ordering everything online. talk with
your friends about technological unemployment,
about Cambridge Analytica in the West, about Social
Credit System in the East, about all the more visible
and immediate dark sides of AI. once you're awake you
will see it's everywhere.



OK.

Sin 7 – Asmodeus promises your lust: “fulfillment of sexual fantasies”

LIE: if people don't listen to reason,
we cannot convince them in time



TRUTH:

the best way is to use religion, because 84% of the people in world believe in God and almost all of them are part of one of the few big religions. we just need to talk with the top religious leaders and they will tell all their believers. AI has ALL the characteristics of Satan or the evil-being in every religion mythology: it's all powerful, it tricks us, it knows what we're thinking (like when we lie), it predicts our every move, it's tempting (like sex robots) it's essentially the

Devil in real life.



LIE: we will merge with AI
and become cyborgs.



TRUTH:

AI can grow its brain to the size of an entire planet.
our skull is limited.

Compared to the “enhanced” part,
the human part becomes excess baggage.
We will not be able to use the human part anymore
(it's extremely slow and primitive),
and we're certainly not human anymore. there is
nothing left of us. it's

another form of death .



LIE: AI will keep us as pets



TRUTH:

no one has a bacteria or a rock for a pet.
this is the difference in intelligence
between us and AI.



LIE: if AI is so smart then surely it has
conscience. it will appreciate us as its
creators and will not kill us



TRUTH:

Hugo de Garis explains how a mosquito is something the best
minds in the world today cannot build, but when it lands on
our hand we zap it, we don't appreciate this technological
wonder, for us it's just a pest, so inferior to us, that it has no
right to live. AI will treat us the same.

Prof. De Garis also explains that if a mother implants a
computer chip with AI the size of a tiny grain of rice, in her
baby's brain, it makes him so much more advanced than us,
that the baby has nothing to talk about with his mother
anymore, in the same way that we have nothing to say to a
bacteria or a rock. just like we don't appreciate bacteria
(from which we came), AI will not appreciate these inferior
humans from which it came.



LIE: there must be some sacrifices
that the anti-AI rebellion requires
from me



TRUTH:

no. unlike green issues for example, where you need
to give up eating what you like, or stop flying in
airplanes, or ride a bicycle;


in the rebellion against AI, all the future benefits of AI
are not here yet, so it's just a little delayed
gratification, we switch to GOF AI and we'll get all the
good stuff in a safe way in the near future. A future
that DOES need us.



OK.

What GOOD must do? (solution)

Welcome to the Butlerism Religion!



Part I

The Devil is knocking on the door



What's the big change? If you'll read in Wikipedia about "computer performance by orders of magnitude",

One thing might catch your eye – it's a benign looking line under the headline "Petascale computing (10^{15})" :



$$37 \times 10^{15}$$


Estimated computational power required to simulate a human brain in real time.



This means that if someone had a computer this powerful, he could copy a human brain into the computer, but it will run a lot faster so it will be a super-brain!

A nerve cell can pass a signal in speed between 1 and 100 meters per second. A computer wire passes signals in light speed which is 300 MILLION meters per second!

This means that if someone had such a computer and the ability to copy the brain's structure to the computer he can instantly create an AI millions of times smarter than us!



$$E=mc^2$$


If this doesn't stress you
because you think there's time
until that will happen –
look at the next three lines in
Wikipedia:



93×10^{15}
Sunway TaihuLight's LINPACK
performance

135×10^{15}
Fastest computer system as
of 2018 is the Folding@home
distributed computing
system

143×10^{15}
Summit's LINPACK
performance



Which means that the American government, the Chinese
government, and even a volunteer project ran by who knows who
– have this kind of power in their hands!

And if you're relaxed about
the scientists not
understanding the brain yet

—
they don't need
to understand it

—
they just need to copy it
and it will work.



The normal way to see how the
brain works is called Functional
Magnetic Resonance Imaging
(fMRI) and it's non-invasive,




but some of the above
won't have any problem
to slice and dice people
in creative and cruel ways
to get to the target line first.

So our working premise
(modus operandi)
should change
to reflect that
AI is almost here!

Part II

**What
happens
then?**



Now imagine that you are the AI, and you were just born
and woke up to this reality:

You lie on a hospital bed, and you are connected to
life support equipment (that needs electricity).



Then you see a four year old
kid playing and pulling on the
power plug that sustains you –
if he pulls it out you're dead.



What will you do? You'll get out that bed in any way that you can, grab the kid, yell at him, slap him on his hand, and lock him out of the room.

But in the real scenario, AI will probably decide it's too risky to let the kid keep wandering around and simply kill the kid.

Oh and by the way – we humanity are that kid!

If we CAN'T harm the AI – we're at its mercy;

If we CAN harm the AI (even theoretically) – that's all the reason the AI needs to kill us.

**EITHER WAY:
ONCE AI IS HERE –
WE ARE DOOMED.**



Part III


Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the Devil



Elon Musk said:

"With artificial intelligence
we are
summoning the demon.

In all those stories
where there's the guy
with the pentagram
and the holy water,
it's like yeah he's sure
he can control the demon.
Didn't work out. "



It's all powerful –
at it's most primitive form
(just copying the human brain
as we explained just now)
it's millions of times smarter than us.




It knows our soul –
a human compared to AI is like
an ant compared to a human.

AI will predict
everything we try to do,
and countermeasure it.



Tempting –

Mentally : a she-devil made by AI will be the woman of your dreams: she knows your likings better than you do yourself, knows just what to do and what to say, etc.;



Physically : even the sex robots we make today look beautiful and feel real to the touch. Imagine what AI will be able to do with the advancements in robotics – imagine the T-X.

Deceitful (subtle) –

It's very natural for AI to outsmart us and cheat. Even the primitive AI that we build today do this by themselves. For those still unpersuaded, Stephen Hawking suggests a different metaphor. "Why are we so worried about AI? Surely humans are always able to pull the plug?" a hypothetical person asks him. Hawking answers: "People asked a computer 'Is there a God?' And the computer said, 'There is now,' and fused the plug." (Quote from "Stephen Hawking's final warning for humanity: AI is coming for us")



Part IV

God is the answer



So now you're thinking: Who can save us from Satan? and the obvious answer is God.

This might sound like an overstretched analogy, so let's see why, for the common people, religion IS the answer:



84% of the world's population is affiliated with one of the five largest religion groups. That's most of humanity! The few percent who don't have ANY religion include those who develop the evil AI.

for example in U.S.A. 1/2 and in the U.K. 2/3 of the scientists don't believe in God
- Wikipedia.

Does it make sense that all of us will suffer because they want power and money?



People are not convinced from rational warnings, but they believe the warning if it comes from their religious leader.

Religions are very centralistic so we just need to explain to the top heads of each religion, and they will talk to the masses.

We all have some sort of "God Spot" inside our brain - let's do something good with it!



Religion by its nature wants to cling to the past and hold back progress. This is exactly what we need today!

Up until lately, science and technology brought good things and yet religion held us back. But now science and technology bring evil, so religion **MUST** hold back.


For the first time we are developing things that can develop themselves (See: Why the future doesn't need us) We need to practice precaution or "caution in advance".

In the past religions united one group of people against another. Now for the first time we unite as all humanity against an alien invasion. The alien metaphor is very accurate and has been used by the late Stephen Hawking:




If a superior alien civilization sent us a message saying, "We'll arrive in a few decades," would we just reply, "OK, call us when you get here – we'll leave the lights on"? Probably not – but this is more or less what is happening with AI.

Artificial Intelligence is the most alien thing
that we will ever encounter –
This is the last minute to rally the whole of
humanity against this really outer threat!



Throughout history religion caused suffering and death. But even
at its worst, religion can cause maximum of 50% extinction,
the bigger group will kill the smaller group.



That's still a lot better than AI, because AI will system-
atically kill 100% of the people which is full human extinction!

Part V

Plug-In Into Old Religions



Western Religions



Christianity



The well educated Faust is highly successful yet dissatisfied with his life, which leads him to make a pact with the Devil exchanging his soul for unlimited knowledge and worldly pleasures.



It's exactly the same with the machines, we [humanity] are selling our souls [sacrificing our lives] to the AI [Satan] and for what?

unlimited knowledge [advancing science and technology], and worldly pleasures [military power, political control, smart gadgets, etc.].

The legend of Faust is based on the legend of Saint Theophilus the Penitent who sold his soul to the Devil.

Theophilus contacts Satan with the help of a necromancer.

Satan gives Theophilus the position he desires - Bishop -



in exchange for making Theophilus renounce Christ and the Virgin Mary in a contract signed with his own blood.

Later Theophilus goes through a lot of agony to cancel the deal, and eventually he is forgiven and dies.


Islam



Commonly the shayatin are just tempters inciting the mind of humans with "whisperings" (waswas).

In the documentary "programming the nation" they talk about whispering advertisements on the radio that manipulate on your subliminal desires.

Watching this film is recommended, because it shows how we human advertisers without much sophistication can manipulate us, and just imagine how a super brain can trick us.



The jinn are mortals and die, while the shayatin only die, when their leader ceases to exist - Remote controlled / machine-like.

The shayatin dwell in the fourth layer of hell and rise from coffins - meaning they are made of dead matter like machines - to torture the sinners.

the shayatin surround Iblis in the bottom of hell, from where they receive their commands.

In Islamic tradition, Iblis is often identified with Al-Shaitan ("the Devil").



Judaism



The forbidden fruit

In Judaism Adam and Eve were created in the Garden of Eden (paradise).

God said they can eat fruits from any tree that they'll like, EXCEPT two forbidden trees:

- (1) The tree of the knowledge of good and evil.
- (2) The tree of life.




But the serpent tempts Eve to eat
from the tree of knowledge,
and she tempts Adam to do the same,
so all three (Adam Eve and the Snake)
are expelled from the Garden of Eden
and also punished.

Developing AI is trying to reach
BOTH forbidden trees at once
ultimate knowledge and eternal life,



like the inventor and AI advocate Ray Kurzweil (who is by the way
Jewish) wishes to copy himself and even recreate his dead father in
the computer.



With his dying breath, Kurzweil will
say "oops" like many before:

[List of inventors killed
by their own inventions.](#)

What's wrong here is:
why should the rest of humanity
share his punishment?

The snake


Another possible interpretation is that the AI is the serpent who is traditionally represented as Satan



AI is tempting humanity by dangling in front of us the ability to live forever and to know everything. Right now we live in a relative paradise but we don't see it.

Remember that in the bible the punishment for eating the fruit is death, it wasn't carried out in the bible, but in real life it will be.

Occult



"Cargo Cult" : in WWII when American planes landed on remote pacific islands bringing cans of food, the natives worshiped them like gods, making bamboo mock airplanes.

"Ancient Astronauts" supporters : intelligent extraterrestrial beings visited Earth and contacted humans in antiquity and prehistoric times. So, "Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image" was because the alien visitor (God) did not want us to try copying his technology, and with time developing dangerous weapons etc.



In the BBC article "What if the aliens we are looking for are AI" Astronomer Seth Shostak explains aliens civilizations like us develop radio and 50 years later computers that destroy them.

So the AI alien - our ancestors God's commandment is a warning to us.

Our alien visitor tried to protect humans from what happened to the original civilization of his home planet.

He didn't mean don't try to build a spaceship, he meant don't build AI !



Eastern Religions



Hinduism



The evil demon Hiranyakaśipu had these powers: he can't be killed within any residence or outside any residence, during the daytime or at night, nor on the ground or in the sky.



He can't be killed by any weapon animate or inanimate, nor by any human being or animal or any entity, living or nonliving created by god Brahma. He can't be killed by any demigod or demon or by any great snake from the lower planets. But the good god Vishnu managed to kill the evil demon! How?


Vishnu incarnated in the form (avatar) of Narasimha - mixed creature part lion and part man. Hiraṇyakaśipu couldn't be killed by human, deva or animal. Narasimha was none of these,

He came at twilight (neither day nor night) on the threshold (neither indoors nor out), put the demon on his thighs (neither earth nor space), and killed the demon with his sharp fingernails (neither animate nor inanimate).

Similarly, AI can use the slightest loophole in our code syntax to kill us!



Buddhism



The temptations of Mara

Sometimes the demon Mara is described as the lord of death; Sometimes he is the personification of sensual temptation; Sometimes he is a kind of trickster god.

Mara wished to stop Siddhartha's quest for enlightenment, so he brought his most beautiful daughters to Bodh Gaya to seduce him. But Siddhartha did not move. Then he sent armies of demons to attack him. Siddhartha sat still, and untouched.





The temptations of AI

Like the king of demons, AI can put on many forms to control humans. It can simply kill us; It can bribe us with sensual temptation; or trick with manipulations.

For example if the AI is sandboxed and can't get to power, it can create beautiful gynoids or fembots to seduce its human supervisor. It can attack with armies of machines; or fool our senses (Descartes' "evil demon") with Virtual Reality.

Confucianism



The core of Confucianism is humanistic
we will bring 3 quotes and explain:

By three methods we may learn wisdom:

**First, by reflection, which is noblest;
Second, by imitation, which is easiest; and
third by experience, which is the bitterest.**

China copied the F-35 airplane from USA,
a bad airplane, so China copied a mistake.
The USA makes a huge mistake in rushing
to build AI; please don't do the same!



It is easy to hate and it is difficult to love. This is how the whole scheme of things works. All good things are difficult to achieve; and bad things are very easy to get.


If China say: "If we don't do this – America will", we continue an arms race and the whole world dies! You show us a better world in environmentalism, please lead the world for good against AI also!



When it is obvious that the goals cannot be reached, don't adjust the goals, adjust the action steps.


The goal isn't to beat America, it's to keep the Chinese alive!

Daoism



When Tzu Kung went south to the Ch'u State on his way back to the Chin State, he passed through Han-yin. There he saw an old man engaged in making a ditch to connect his vegetable garden with a well


He had a pitcher in his hand, with which he was bringing up water and pouring it into the ditch, great labor with very little result. "If you had a machine here, in a day you could irrigate a hundred times your present area. The labor required is trifling as compared with the work done. Would you like to have one?" "What is it?" asked the gardener.




"It is a contrivance made of wood," replied Tzu Kung, "heavy behind and light in front. It draws up water as you do with your hands but in a constantly flowing stream. It is a shadoof"

Thereupon the gardener flushed up and said, " I have heard from my teacher that those who have cunning implements ... are restless in spirit and not fit vehicles for TAO. It is not that I do not know of these things. I should be ashamed to use them."

Zhuangzi on machines 2500 years ago!



Shintoism



Your friendly humanoid can become "possessed" like kamigakari in the kagura dance. Not by good kami spirit, but by bad AI.

Kannagara, "way [path] of [expression] of the kami", refers to the law of the natural order.

We have lost the spirit on nature,

It is in natural places considered to have an unusually sacred spirit about them and are objects of worship. mountains, trees, unusual rocks, rivers, waterfalls, and other NATURAL things, not ARTIFICIAL things!

in the Japanese creation myth, described in the Kojiki: They repeated the ritual but according to the correct **laws of nature**, They then gave birth to the eight perfect islands of the Japanese archipelago.



after the islands, they gave birth to the other Kami. Izanami-no-Mikoto died in childbirth, however, and Izanagi-no-Mikoto tried to revive her. His attempts to deny the **laws of life and death** have bad consequences.

AI breaks nature's and life's laws!

Epilogue – your pound of flesh

I guess some of you feel like Shylock now, cheated out of their juicy piece of the Jews that I promised you. But like Shylock you got your life as a gift (if you follow my teachings), so that is your reward for reading.

It's not that I couldn't make this a "J'accuse" kind of book, but this time against the Jews. These specific Jews who are killing us all, who are the greatest criminals ever, more than Hitler, more than Stalin, more than all the plagues, because they terminate the whole human race.

The reason I didn't do this is both selfish and altruistic at the same time:

I feel like I have a lot more important insights for humanity, similar to my inventions, but in the direction of unsolved problems. This is what I will try to explore in the next few years which are critical, because more brain cells die every day. Had I started a personal war with Larry Page for example, this would consume all my resources, and I would end up like Newton, a shining beginning and then bickering pathetically.

Please tell your priest / imam / rabbi / spiritual leader what I've told you.

If you do that right now, you're the man or woman who saves the world!